

Collective Decision

Xiaotie Deng

AIMS Lab
Department of Computer Science, SJTU

October 19, 2016

- 1 Network Flow
- 2 Core: A Solution Concept in Cooperative Games
- 3 Social Choice

Network Flow

Network Flow Model

- A Directed Graph $G = (N, A; s, t)$.
 - 1 Graph with a source s and a sink t .
 - 2 A flow is a collection of arcs such that if it goes in a node $v \in N - \{s, t\}$, it will go out of the same v in the same number
- A flow is a maximum flow if the amount of flow going out of s is maximised.

LP Formulation of Maximum Flow

- A Directed Graph $G = (N, A; s, t)$.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \max & \sum_{(s,i) \in A} x_{s,i} \\
 \text{s.t.} & \forall i \in A - \{s, t\} \quad \sum_k x_{k,i} = \sum_t x_{i,t} \\
 & x \leq 1 \\
 & x \geq 0
 \end{array} \quad (1)$$

- A flow is a maximum flow if the amount of flow going out of s is maximised.

FORD-FULKERSON Algorithm for Network Flow

- A Directed Graph $G = (N, A; s, t)$
- initial flow $x = 0$.
- Loop
 - Construct Auxiliary Graph $H(N, A')$
 - $A' = \{e \in A : x(e) = 0, x(\text{inverse}(e)) = 1\}$, where $e' = (b, a)$ if $e = (a, b)$.
 - Find a shortest path P from s to t in H . Exit if there is no such a path.
 - revise x : $x(e) \leftarrow x(e) + 1$ if $e \in P \cap A$ and $x(e) = x(e) - 1$ if $e \in P$ and $\text{inverse}(e) \in A$.
 - End of Loop

Properties of Algorithm

- 1 It terminates in no more than $degree(s)$ loops.
- 2 It terminates with a minimum cut found.
- 3 The solution is a maximum solution to LP (min cut is dual, LP=DLP).
- 4 It extends to the non-unit capacity case.
- 5 Polynomial time algorithm for unit capacity networks.
- 6 Using LP, we can find the solution in polynomial time.
 - Perturb the objective function appropriately, the LP has a unique solution which is integer by the (exponential) network flow algorithm.

Core: A Solution Concept in Cooperative Games

An Example of Cooperative Games

- A graph of n agents $G = (V, E, w)$ with $|V| = n$, $|E| = m$ and $w : E \rightarrow N$.
- Values of Subsets $v : 2^V \rightarrow R_+$.
 - In this case, $v(S) = \text{sum}_{e \in G[S]} w(e)$

An Example of Cooperative Games

- Core of the game: $x : V \rightarrow R_+$ such that
 - $x(N) = v(N)(=w(E)); \forall S \subseteq V : x(S) \geq v(S); x \geq 0.$
- Is there a member in Core?
 - Try $x(i) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in e \in E} w(e) ?$
- How to decide whether $x : V \rightarrow Q_+$ is in Core?
- Difficulty: There are 2^n subsets of $V : |V| = n.$

Existence of the Core

- Core of the game exists iff there is no negative cut
- Decide whether $x : V \rightarrow Q_+$ is in Core can be done in polynomial time if there is no negative edge.
- Solution by maximum flow algorithm.
 - Node set of the new graph: $V' = \{s, t\} \cup V \cup E$.
 - Edge set of the new graph:
 - $\forall e = (i, j) \in E$, create $c(s, e) = v(e)$, $c(e, i) = c(e, j) = +\infty$.
 - $\forall i \in V$, create $c(i, t) = x(i)$.
- x is in core iff solution to the network flow from s to t has capacity $v(V) = x(V)$.

Social Choice

Individual Values and Social Choice

- Underlying problem: There are a set C of candidates, and a set V of voters.
- Each voter $v \in V$ has a permutation of C written as \prec_v .
- We are required to find a function f that takes the preference lists of all voters, returns a choice among the candidates.
- Output: a function $f : (\pi_C)^{|V|} \rightarrow \pi_C$ as the social choice by the voters.
- Challenge: How to choose the candidate fairly?

Individual Values and Social Welfare

- Underlying problem: There are a set C of candidates, and a set V of voters.
- Each voter $v \in V$ has a permutation of C written as \prec_v .
- We are required to find a function f that takes the preference lists of all voters, returns a permutation of the candidates as a social preference list.
- Output: a function $f : (\pi_C)^{|V|} \rightarrow \pi_C$ as the social welfare by the voters.
- Challenge: How to define the fairness concept and find the solution?

Arrow's Properties

- Unanimity: $f(\succ, \succ, \dots, \succ) = \succ$
- Non-dictatorship:
 - $d \in V$ is a dictator for the social welfare function f if $f(\succ_d, \dots) = \succ_d$ no matter what are the preference lists \succ_c for $c \in V - \{d\}$.
- (IIA) Independence of Irrelevant Alternatives:
 - Let V is partitioned into $V_{i,j}$ and $V_{j,i}$ such that $\forall v \in V_{i,j}$ $i \succ_v j$ and $\forall u \in V_{j,i}$ $i \succ_u j$.
 - For any set of $|V|$ preference lists, $f(\succ_v: v \in V)$ that has the same set $V_{i,j}$ and $V_{j,i}$, the outcome \succ is the same on the order of $\{i, j\}$.

Arrow's Impossibility Theorem

- Any Social Welfare satisfying Unanimity and IIA is a dictatorship.
- Proof Outline
 - Pairwise Neutrality: Given $a, b, c, d \in C$, if $\forall i, j \in V$ $b \succ_i a$ iff $d \succ'_j c$, then $b \succ_{f() } a$ iff $d \succ'_{f() } c$.
 - Proof: We merge $a, b, c, d \succ_i, \succ'_i$ into one social welfare problem under \succ''_i and assume $b \succ a$, and $c \neq b$.
 - Place c such that $a \succ''_i c$ and $d \succ''_i b$. At the same time, maintain the relative relationship of a, b , and c, d .
 - $a \succ'' c$ and $d \succ'' b$ by the unanimity rule.
 - By transitivity, $d \succ'' b \succ'' a \succ'' c$.
 - Since the relationship of c and d is the same in \succ'_i as in \succ''_i for all i , it follows that $d \succ' c$ as well.

Arrow's Impossibility Theorem—Continued

- Any Social Welfare satisfying Unanimity and IIA is a dictatorship.
- Proof Continued: Choose alternatives $a \neq b$ Consider a sequence of profiles, π^i , $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$, such that
 - for the first i players, ($j = 1, 2, \dots, i$), $b \prec_j^i a$
 - for the rest, $j = i + 1, i + 2, \dots, n$, $a \prec_j^i b$.
- by Unanimity, $a \prec^0 b$ and $b \prec^n a$.
- Let $i^* = \min\{i : b \prec^i a\}$, which will be shown to be the dictator.

Arrow's Impossibility Theorem—Continued

- Let $i^* = \min\{i : b \succ^i a\}$, which will be shown to be the dictator.
- Given a profile of preferences of the players, (\succ_i) and the associate social preference \succ , where $c \succ_{i^*} d$, we should prove that $c \succ d$.
- Let $e \notin \{c, d\}$. Create another profile \succ' such that
 - if $j < i^*$
 - $e \succ'_j c \succ'_j d$ if $c \succ_j d$
 - $e \succ'_j d \succ'_j c$ if $d \succ_j c$
 - if $j = i^*$
 - $c \succ'_j e \succ'_j d$ if $c \succ_j d$
 - $d \succ'_j e \succ'_j c$ if $d \succ_j c$
 - if $i^* < j$
 - $c \succ'_j d \succ'_j e$ if $c \succ_j d$
 - $d \succ'_j c \succ'_j e$ if $d \succ_j c$

Arrow's Impossibility Theorem—Concluded

- As e and c in \succ' have the same relationship as a and b in π^{i^*-1} , we have $c \succ' e$ in \succ' .
- Similarly, e and d in \succ' have the same relationship as a and b in π^{i^*} .
- We conclude $c \succ' e \succ' d$.
- Therefore, $c \succ_{i^*} d$ implies the social decision $c \succ d$.